### What can policy makers do now?

solutions, simultaneously addressing DLDD, incentives for the implementation of SLM engagement with land users, scientists climate change adaptation and mitigation, through sustainable business models, subsidies and civil society through-out the planning, policy makers can play a crucial role by and/or payments for ecosystem services implementation and monitoring phases of SLM creating an enabling environment at national schemes. and subnational levels to overcome barriers to the large-scale implementation of SLM. This Improving land tenure security to incentivize Supporting transdisciplinary research includes specifically:

- strategies.
- Supporting the implementation of SLM as decision-making. one of the means to achieve LDN.

To achieve large scale implementation of SLM 🛛 Developing and supporting economic 🗨 Institutionalizing meaningful stakeholder

- land users to invest in SLM.
- into national integrated land-use planning development and knowledge exchange on SLM human well-being; 2) identifying barriers and between land users, scientists, practitioners enabling conditions for the implementation of and policy makers at all relevant levels of SLM practices; and 3) building on participatory

strategies

programmes aimed at: 1) multi-objective assessments of SLM, including synergies and Mainstreaming best practices for SLM Supporting capacity building, knowledge trade-offs for the natural environment and research methods

## Scaling up implementation of Sustainable Land Management

This is an important social and institutional extension services. challenge and requires creation of an enabling environment.

Despite scientific advances in understanding benefits of no action. the causes and outcomes of land degradation, adoption of SLM practices is often limited To trigger the wide-scale adoption of SLM institutional, economic and sociocultural incentives must be evident, demonstrable, aspects such as:

implementation and maintenance of SLM.

water or plants).

**Lack of access to knowledge and** and priorities. information on SLM options and their proper implementation

DLDD, climate change adaptation and **inadequate governance structures** that inhibit provide policy instruments that facilitate the mitigation through SLM practices depends on decision-making at different scales or cross- implementation and maintenance of SLM sustaining and scaling up the implementation sectoral planning, insecure land tenure, and practices. of SLM practices that have proven successful. absent or poorly functioning research and

to a minority of innovative land-users and practices, tangible short- and long-term Increased opportunities for local training, practitioners. Barriers for the implementation benefits for land users, such as yield increases, education, capacity-building and support for of SLM are related to technological, ecological, resistance to drought and/or monetary the implementation of SLM practices and achievable. Land users and managers are **=** Supporting the establishment or most likely to adopt SLM practices if they are reinforcement of sustainable business models **Limited finance and access to capital** for the convinced it maintains or enhances production and investment opportunities for SLM practices. and food security and if there are economic benefits or other direct incentives that ensure Developing compensation schemes to **Lack of access to appropriate technologies,** or enhance their livelihoods and well-being. compensate land owners and managers for the equipment or inputs (e.g. insufficient However, this needs to be accompanied by implementation or maintenance costs of SLM availability of land, labour, biomass, energy, appropriated policy instruments that promote practices that protect ecosystem services for upscaling, knowledge exchange and capacity society as a whole. building while respecting local circumstances

> the implementation of SLM practices by among the land users and decision-makers. creating an enabling environment include: Therefore, decision-making on effective

The success of creating synergies for addressing **National policies**, regulations, and **L**ong-term government commitment to

Effective and accessible communication and good availability of (research) information **Stakeholder perception** of the potential on SLM options and impacts and relevant costs and benefits of SLM and the costs and legislation through extension services, open source data and by strengthening knowledge exchange networks.

The consideration of local needs and traditional knowledge considerably increases the level Examples of policy instruments that **incentivize** of acceptability and success of SLM practices monitoring of SLM practices.

framework that facilitates co-creation of sound basis supporting SLM policies, for creating an enabling environment. These solutions, knowledge exchange and discussion transdisciplinary research should focus on assessments should include co-benefits and among land users, policymakers at different reducing uncertainties by analysing and trade-offs based on a complete value chain decision-making levels, scientists, civil promoting long-term experiments, scaling up and socioeconomic analysis as well as on society organizations and other stakeholders results through meta-analyses and modelling local knowledge. In this context, engaging throughout the planning, implementation and studies, quantifying synergies and trade-offs for stakeholders in the generation of knowledge the natural environment and human well-being though participatory processes is crucial. at local and regional scales, and identifying

### **Further reading:**

- eld-initiative.org).
- FAO, 2016. Voluntary Guidelines for Sustainable Soil Management. 15pp. (www.fao.org/3/a-bl813e.pdf)
- Rome, Italy, 35pp. (www.fao.org/3/b-i7268e.pdf)
- WOCAT, CTA, UNEP, CDE, Bern, 364 pp. (www.wocat.net/en/knowledge-base/documentation-analysis/global-regional-books.html)
- World Bank, 2008. Sustainable Land Management Sourcebook. The World Bank, Washington D.C. 178pp. (http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EXTARD/ Besources/336681-1215724937571/eBook pdf)

#### **UNCCD-SPI related publications**

- (www.unccd.int/Lists/SiteDocumentLibrary/Publications/2015\_PolicyBrief\_SPI\_ENG.pdf).
- multipage\_eng.pdf)
- FINAL.pdf)
- United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD), Bonn, Germany

The mission of the UNCCD Science-Policy Interface (SPI) is to facilitate a two-way dialogue between scientists and policy makers in order to ensure the delivery of science-based, policy-relevant information, knowledge and advice.



### Science-Policy Brief 03— August 2017

SLM practices requires a participatory To continuously strengthen the scientific barriers to implementation and opportunities

ELD, 2015. The value of land: Prosperous lands and positive rewards through sustainable land management. Economics of Land Degradation (ELD) (www.

FAO, 2017. Unlocking the Potential of Soil Organic Carbon. Outcome document of the Global Symposium on Soil Organic Carbon, Rome 21-23 March 2017,

Liniger, H. and Critchley, W. (Editors), 2007. Where the land is greener. Case studies and analysis of soil and water conservation initiatives worldwide.

UNCCD-SPI. 2015. Pivotal soil carbon. Science-Policy Brief 01. United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification, Science-Policy Interface (UNCCD-SPI)

UNCCD-SPI. 2016. Land in Balance. The Scientific Conceptual Framework for Land Degradation Neutrality. Science-Policy Brief 02. United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification, Science-Policy Interface (UNCCD-SPI) (www.unccd.int/Lists/SiteDocumentLibrary/Publications/10\_2016\_spi\_pb\_

Orr, B.J., A.L. Cowie, V.M. Castillo Sanchez, P. Chasek, N.D. Crossman, A. Erlewein, G. Louwagie, M. Maron, G.I. Metternicht, S. Minelli, A.E. Tengberg, S. Walter, and S. Welton. 2017. Scientific Conceptual Framework for Land Degradation Neutrality. A Report of the Science-Policy Interface. United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD), Bonn, Germany. (www2.unccd.int/sites/default/files/documents/LDN%20Scientific%20Conceptual%20Framework\_

De Vente, J., J.-L. Chotte, M. Bernoux, G. Kust, M.J. Sanz, I. Ruiz, M. Almagro, J.-A. Alloza, R. Vallejo, V. Castillo, A. Hebel, and M. Akhtar-Schuster. 2017. Sustainable Land Management contribution to successful land-based climate change adaptation and mitigation. A Report of the Science-Policy Interface.

> UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION TO COMBAT DESERTIFICATION Platz der Vereinten Nationen 1, 53113 Bonn, Germany Postal Address: PO Box 260129, 53153 Bonn, Germany Tel. +49 (0) 228 815 2800 Fax: +49 (0) 228 815 2898/99 E-mail: secretariat@unccd.int – Web-site: www.unccd.int



**United Nations** Convention to Combat esertification

# SCIENCE-POLICY BRIEF

UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION TO COMBAT DESERTIFICATION SCIENCE-POLICY BRIEF 03— August 2017

# **Sustainable Land Management for Climate and People**

#### Why Sustainable Land Management?

human well-being, including provisioning, regulating, supporting and values. Scientific evidence increasingly highlights the advantages of cultural services (Figure 1). Those services provide among others the adopting SLM practices as land-based solutions that have the potential production of fresh air, food, feed, fuel and fibre. They regulate the risks to simultaneously address Desertification, Land Degradation and of natural hazards and climate change, offer cultural and spiritual values Drought (DLDD), climate change adaptation and mitigation, while often to our society, and support key ecological functions such as nutrient achieving other co-benefits, such as protection of biodiversity. and water cycling, filtering and buffering, and are central to economic vitality. However, Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD) This Policy Brief provides scientifically-sound quidance for decisionsecurity, livelihoods, and human well-being.

preserve all ecosystem services in long- term productive ecosystems realities.

Land provides crucial ecosystem services for human existence and by integrating economic, sociocultural and biophysical needs and

as well as climate change can negatively affect the provision of these makers to help develop SLM strategies and related policies that promote ecosystem services with potentially severe implications for food synergies and address trade-offs between multiple objectives related to DLDD, climate change mitigation and adaptation, and for creating an enabling environment to overcome possible barriers for selection and Sustainable Land Management (SLM) represents a holistic approach to large-scale implementation of effective SLM practices considering local







## How does SLM contribute to sustainable development at the nexus of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)?

SLM strongly supports the objectives of the practices that prevent the loss of healthy land climate change adaptation and mitigation as

Page 2

three Environmental Rio Conventions (UNCCD, and maintain or improve the land's productivity. defined by SDG 13 (climate action). There is a UNFCCC, CBD) through its positive impacts on By enhancing food security and other livelihood growing number of examples of SLM providing productivity, increased resilience to climate benefits and by increasing the resilience of the economic opportunities, for example through change, reduced greenhouse gas emissions and land and the populations depending on it. SLM lower fertilizer and pesticides requirements, through its protection of biodiversity (Figure 2). also contributes to SDG 1 (end to poverty), SDG reduced damage by soil erosion, stable crop SLM contributes directly to achieving multiple 2 (zero hunger), and SDG 3 (good health and yields, and through development of sustainable Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), such well-being). In addition, SLM contributes to business cases based on responsible as SDG 15 (life on land), which focuses on the SDG 6 (clean water and sanitation) through its consumption and production. achievement of Land Degradation Neutrality contribution to sustainable water management, (LDN) by introducing land management and it has strong potential to contribute to



Figure 2: SLM to support the objectives of the three Bio Conventions at the nexus of several SDGs AFOLU: Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use DLDD: Desertification, Land Degradation, and Drought FbA: Ecosystem-based Adaptation

- LDN: Land Degradation Neutrality
- NDCs: Nationally Determined Contribution

### What is Sustainable Land Management (SLM)?

SLM was defined at the Rio Eart resources, including soils animals and plants, fo oduction of goods to mee ng human needs, while aneously ensuring the long anging human needs, wh ultaneously ensuring the lon productive potential of thes rces and the mainter ces and the mai The concept of SLM is applica to any ecosystem and la lress DLDD, climate cha on and mitigation in t s. SLM represents a wi d on the key principl ntaining and en ductivity and pr resources, while be y viable and soci nically viable and socially able. The potential benefits ed by SLM practices are accepted and documented the scientific community on site-specific research based on site-specific research SLM forms one of the main mechanisms to achieve Land Degradation Neutrality (LDN).

## **Sustainable Land Management solutions**

Both the **design** and the **benefits** of SLM practices incentivizing the use of indigenous species, mixed systems contribute to increased soil and temporal scales. There are, therefore, no severity of grazing to avoid overgrazing and climate change. one-size-fits-all solutions to SLM, and we can prevent exceeding the carrying capacity. make few generalizations from the findings

contribute to climate change adaptation, water storms), and to pests and diseases. management and addressing DLDD at large, a priority in these regions.

sustainable Ensure



practices, such as plantations of crop deforestation, and others. grazing land combinations under multipurpose tree systems, management through combinations of intercropping with green covers in perennial vegetation and livestock management, by woody crops and inclusion of livestock. These



U.S.A. Photo by Jason Johnson®

Nicaragua. Photo by Shadi Azadegan©

۲



SPIPB 3 ENG.indd 2

#### Page 3

Page 4

depend on local environmental, socioeconomic diversifying and selecting the most appropriate guality and carbon sequestration, maintains soil and cultural conditions and trends. Moreover, species for particular areas considering their fertility and nutrient cycling, and minimises decision-making on SLM needs to consider all resilience to drought and forecasted climate soil erosion, while providing food and income to possible synergies and trade-offs across spatial change, and by managing the timing and local communities and enhancing resilience to

Initiatives and resources such as the World of local SLM impact studies because their **Maintain or increase forest cover** through Overview of Conservation Approaches and effectiveness is inherently dependent upon the forest conservation and sustainable forest Technologies (WOCAT), TerrAfrica, the World local context. Nevertheless, there is widespread management, encouraging afforestation and Bank sourcebook, and the Voluntary Guidelines scientific evidence of the advantages individual reforestation, while reducing deforestation, for Sustainable Soil Management (VGSSM) SLM practices can have in simultaneously in particular in tropical forests. These provide comprehensive examples of local addressing DLDD, climate change adaptation practices have a strong positive impact upon SLM practices and concepts. The combination and mitigation, grounded on empirical, site- climate change mitigation and biodiversity of practices that address soil and water specific research. Best solutions are often preservation while preventing land degradation conservation, the diversification of cropping combinations of SLM practices that aim to: and increasing the resilience of forest- systems, the integration of crop and livestock dependent communities. Protecting and systems, and agroforestry are most effective and **Increase and stabilise crop productivity** enhancing forest carbon stocks and forest cover should be encouraged. Land users, managers through combinations of vegetation with the appropriate mix of species, prioritising and other stakeholder need to identify local management, crop diversification, soil fertility the use of indigenous species, in combination optimal combinations of SLM practices for each and sustainable water management practices. with assisted regeneration practices, enables land use type (croplands, grazing land, forest/ Although the adoption of such integrated managed and unmanaged forest ecosystems woodland, mixed land, or other land use classes practices may have a modest impact on climate to adapt to extreme events (e.g. heatwaves, such as mines or settlements). Examples of change mitigation in drylands, they positively droughts, floods, landslides, sand and dust groups of SLM technologies are: Integrated soil fertility management, Minimum soil disturbance, Soil erosion control, Vegetation **Promote agroforestry and agro-pastoralism** management, Water management, Reducing

## The pivotal role of soil organic carbon

Maintaining or increasing Soil Organic Carbon theoretically amount to a net annual removal (SOC) stocks is a positive consequence of most of about 1-2 Gt of CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere SLM practices, and provides synergies for over 30-50 years, contributing to offsetting addressing DLDD, climate change adaptation a substantial part of the anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> and mitigation. Besides contributing to **climate** emissions. While in specific cases the net change mitigation by reducing greenhouse climate change mitigation potential of SLM gas (GHG) emissions and removing carbon practices could be constrained by interactions dioxide (CO<sub>a</sub>) from the atmosphere, enhancing between the carbon and nitrogen cycles, SOC improves soil health and fertility through increasing SOC has crucial positive benefits improved water and nutrient retention and for achieving LDN, climate change adaptationavailability to plants, and therefore contributes mitigation, food security and the protection of to food production potential, drought re- biodiversity. However, not all SOC is equal: the silience, climate change adaptation-mitigation quality, local climatic conditions, soil properties, and **biodiversity** (Figure 3). Maintaining or and microbial communities determine its increasing SOC to reach the maximum capacity functionality. of soils is generally in the interest of land users and society as a whole. However, at present, At any site, the rate of SOC sequestration many of the economic benefits to farmers and through SLM practices declines over time. In society remain largely unquantified and depend degraded lands, poor in SOC, SLM must at least on the baseline conditions, local environmental, prevent further loss of SOC to prevent ecosystem socio-economic and cultural conditions

or increase SOC stocks. The large-scale preventing SOC loss through SLM is a priority. implementation of SLM practices in all managed global soils (irrigated and rainfed cropland, grazing lands, forests and woodlands) can

collapse, and where possible capitalize its huge sequestration potential in extensive degraded SLM practices have strong potential to maintain lands globally. In soils with a high SOC content,

## SLM for the Nationally Determined Contribution

f carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) and othe enhouse gases (GHGs) is wing threat for meeting " suenguien eping a global t this century well below th and to pursue efforts to lim temperature increase to 1.5°C vering this level of ambiti n cuts in all sectors. Th rd NDCs that formulate ountry's mitigation strategie and goals. To have more than fif t chance of limiting war v 2°C, most recent so n that context. the land sector h agriculture and forests. SLM co be the basis of well-designed a ic land based inte that are essential to materialize a of national and local circumsta



Ethiopia, Photo by Kettema Yilma©



Climate change adaptation and mitigation

Drought resilience

Soil Organic Carbon

plays a pivotal role for

**Ecosystem services** 

Food

production

potential

Figure 3: The pivotal role of soil organic carbon for crucial ecosystem services



Biodiversitv protection





