

Authors: Kalyan Keo and Yoona Jo, UNDP

Reviewers and contributors: Lisa Baumgartner, Rebecca Carman, Ankit Khanal,

Annlyn Mc Phie, UNDP

Designer: JT Quirk

### **UN Disclaimer**

The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the United Nations, including the UN Development Programme, or UN Member States.

### **About UNDP's Climate Promise**

UNDP's Climate Promise is the largest global offer on NDC support, covering over 120 countries and territories, representing 80 percent of all developing countries globally – including 40 least developed countries, 28 small island developing states, and 14 high emitters – to enhance their Nationally Determined Contributions under the global Paris Agreement. Delivered in collaboration with a wide variety of partners, it is the world's largest offer of support for the enhancement of climate pledges. Learn more at <a href="climatepromise.undp.org">climatepromise.undp.org</a> and follow at @UNDPClimate.

UNDP is the leading United Nations organization fighting to end the injustice of poverty, inequality, and climate change. Working with our broad network of experts and partners in 170 countries, we help nations to build integrated, lasting solutions for people and planet. Learn more at undp.org or follow at @UNDP.

Copyright  $\ \ \, \ \ \,$  UNDP 2022. All rights reserved. One United Nations Plaza, New York, NY 10017, USA

































### **INTRODUCTION**

Small Island Developing States (SIDS) are a group of low-lying island nations that are home to approximately 65 million people and extremely vulnerable to the impacts of climate change – despite being responsible for less than 1 percent of global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions<sup>1</sup>. The 2022 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report on impacts, adaptation and vulnerability confirmed that SIDS are increasingly affected by tropical cyclones, storm surges, droughts, changing precipitation patterns, coral bleaching and invasive species. From 1970 to 2020, SIDS lost USD\$ 153 billion due to weather-, climate- and water-related hazards -- a significant amount given that the average GDP for SIDS is USD 13.7 billion (WMO, 2020). For those SIDS whose land lies only five meters or less above sea level, projected sea-level rise represents a direct threat to their existence.

Internationally, SIDS are identified as comprising 38 UN Member States and 20 non-UN Members/ Associate Members of United Nations' regional commissions and are typically grouped into three regions: the Caribbean, the Pacific, and the Atlantic, Indian Ocean, Mediterranean, and South China Seas (AIMS). Of these, 40 countries are Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and are the focus of this snapshot<sup>2</sup>.

These island nations are diverse in many ways: level of economic development, governance systems, territorial area, geographical features, and language. For instance, 11 SIDS are considered high-income, more than half are classified as middle-income, yet eight nations are Least Developed Countries (LDCs). Despite differences, SIDS face unique environmental, economic, and social challenges. Common characteristics that contribute to these challenges include: small populations, a narrow resource base, economies heavily dependent on the natural environment, remoteness from international markets, reliance on fossil fuel imports, and limited economies of scale. Such factors affect the adaptive capacity and resilience of SIDS and make them particularly vulnerable to biodiversity loss and climate change.

In response, SIDS created the Alliance of Small Island States (AOSIS) in 1990 which has played a strong leadership role in international climate negotiations. The group campaigned to ensure 1.5°C was part of the global temperature goal of the Paris Agreement in 2015. More recently in August 2022, the government of Antigua and Barbuda, as the current Chair of AOSIS, convened the "Wadadli Action Platform" and called on the international community to take urgent and concrete action to fulfill commitments to strengthen resilience in SIDS. Together with LDCs, SIDS have been steadfast in advocating that loss and damages be addressed in climate negotiations, which contributed to the topic's prominence at COP27 and the agreement made in Egypt to establish a new loss and damage fund.


SIDS have also brokered other strategic partnerships and alliances, such as the <u>High Ambition Coalition</u> (HAC) formed by the Republic of the Marshall Islands in 2015 that is a political-level coalition of progressive countries on climate change who played a pivotal role in brokering the Paris Agreement. Walking the talk, the <u>Marshall Islands</u> was also the <u>first country in the world to submit an enhanced NDC in November 2018. Some SIDS are also members of the <u>Adaptation Action Coalition</u>, which aims to accelerate global action on adaptation to achieve a climate resilient world by 2030.</u>

The analysis below provides insights on climate ambition in SIDS that builds upon, and updates the information, from UNDP's NDC Global Outlook Report 2021: *The State of Global Ambition*, published in October 2021.






### NDC SUBMISSION STATUS



Of the 40 SIDS, 28 (70 percent) received support through UNDP's <u>Climate Promise</u> to prepare enhanced NDCs. Of these, 21 (75 percent) have submitted enhanced NDCs as of 9 December 2022 (Figure 1). Six countries still plan to submit updated NDCs in the near future, while one country's submission (Palau) remains unclear.

### THE STATE OF AMBITION IN SIDS

As of 19 December 2022, 86 percent of Climate Promise-supported SIDS have raised, or intend to raise, mitigation ambition, while 89 percent are enhancing the adaptation component of their NDCs (Figure 2). This level of ambition is similar with the overall intentions of the entire SIDS group (85 percent for mitigation and 90 percent for adaptation). The high adaptation ambition is reflective of the vulnerability of SIDS to more frequent and intense climate change impacts.






As seen in Figure 3, the most common means to raise mitigation in the 21 enhanced NDCs submitted by Climate Promise-supported SIDS was by increasing GHG reduction targets (90 percent) and by adding new mitigation sectors or increasing scope within sectors featured in initial NDCs (90 percent). Only 57 percent of enhanced NDCs increased the scope of unconditional mitigation targets and 52 percent covered all GHGs or added new gases for mitigation, implying these could be entry points for further enhancement of ambition in future NDCs.

In terms of adaptation, 95 percent of Climate Promise-supported SIDS strengthened alignment of the NDC with National Adaptation Plans (NAPs) and other adaptation planning processes or instruments, while 90 percent included clearer adaptation objectives in line with the Paris Agreement. In addition, 81 percent increased the scope or sectors for adaptation, compared to the previous generation of NDCs. The strengthening of adaption pathways reflects the increasing adaptation ambition seen from SIDS. One noticeable area for further enhancement is inclusion of quantitative or qualitative adaptation targets and provisions for a monitoring and evaluation system, reflected in only 33 percent of enhanced NDCs.

# FIGURE 3 Selected mitigation and adaptation pathways of enhanced NDCs submitted by Climate Promise-supported SIDS

Mitigation Pathways		Adaptation Pathways	
19 (90%)	Add new mitigation sectors or increase scope within existing sectors	20 (95%)	Align with NAP or other adaptation planning processes or instruments
19 (90%)	Increase GHG emission reduction targets	19 (90%)	Include clear adaptation objectives in line with the Paris Agreement including in a form of Adaptation Communications
12 (57%)	Increase scope of unconditional mitigation targets	17 (81%)	Increase adaptation sectoral coverage or scope within existing sectors
11 (52%)	Cover all GHGs or include new GHGs including SLCPs	7 (33%)	Include quantitative or qualitative targets and provisions for a monitoring and evaluation system






The quality of an NDC is arguably as important as its ambition. Higher-quality NDCs are more likely to lead to successful implementation and signal the government's intention to be accountable for turning pledges into actions.


Under the Climate Promise, UNDP developed a Quality Assurance Checklist that allows governments and/or other practitioners to systematically review opportunities to improve NDC quality against three dimensions: i) Robustness, ii) Feasibility, and iii) Ownership and Inclusivity. Using this checklist, UNDP undertook an in-depth analysis of second-generation NDCs submitted by Climate Promise-supported countries, scoring NDCs based on a percentage of criteria that were met.<sup>4</sup>

As illustrated in Figure 4, 'robustness' was the strongest dimension of enhanced NDCs submitted by Climate Promise-supported SIDS (90 percent), scoring higher than the global average of 80 percent. Regarding ownership and inclusivity, Climate Promise-supported SIDS scored similarly to the global average at 81 percent versus 82 percent. However, when looking at NDC feasibility, SIDS come in at only 14 percent, about half of the already low global average of 31 percent. This implies that SIDS still lack the necessary enabling environment to attract sufficient levels of finance to ensure the effective implementation of their commitments.




In line with global findings, UNDP analysis showed a positive correlation between submitted NDCs that used more inclusive revision processes and those with higher rates of ambition (Figure 5). However, there remains a variance that may be due to differing national circumstances and contexts, but also to other factors that impact ambition (e.g., political will). In Figure 5, Belize's NDC demonstrates the positive correlation between high ambition (81 percent) and strong ownership and inclusivity (94 percent), while Dominica scores 100 percent for ownership and inclusivity and also shows high ambition (76 percent).





Source: UNDP analysis. Note: Green triangles represent the NDCs of 21 Climate Promise-supported SIDS for which an NDC Quality Assurance Review was undertaken.






## NDC IMPLEMENTATION READINESS

In 2019, UNDP defined and began monitoring seven "building blocks" for effective NDC implementation that are based on UNDP's experience and lessons

learned from supporting countries throughout the NDC cycle. Figure 6 compares SIDS' progress against the global average (triangles)<sup>5</sup>.



In most cases, the progress in SIDS on putting in place the foundational "building blocks" of NDC implementation is generally on par with the global aggregated results reported by 122 countries.

- Regarding if key coordination mechanisms are in place, globally, nearly all countries (97 percent) have put in place at least one coordination mechanism or are in the process of establishing one. For SIDS, 69 percent reported to have in place both inter-ministerial coordination and stakeholder engagement mechanisms (versus 67 percent globally), while 28 percent have one mechanism in place or in preparation.
- In terms of mainstreaming the NDC, SIDS are generally on par with the global average for mainstreaming into national, subnational, and sectoral plans and budgets.
   SIDS have made the most progress at the national level (84 percent), followed by sectoral mainstreaming (69 percent). Only half have conducted subnational mainstreaming efforts.
- On finance, SIDS reported high rates of mobilizing public finance (97 percent compared to 93 percent globally) but lag in accessing private finance (40 percent compared to 52 percent globally that have accessed private finance or have it in the pipeline). The fact that three-fifths of SIDS have not tapped private finance implies there is potential to leverage greater support for climate action, but also highlights the challenges of scale that is a barrier to private investment for most SIDS. Preparation of financial strategies (completed, or in progress, in two-thirds of SIDS) will be beneficial for understanding the investment opportunities of highest potential.
- In terms of implementation of actions, SIDS are ahead of global averages for both mitigation actions (94 percent versus 87 percent globally) and adaptation actions (81 percent versus 78 percent globally).
- On transparency, 100 percent of SIDS indicated that they are in the process of putting in place measurement, reporting and verification (MRV) systems, although none have a fully operational, comprehensive MRV system at this time.

# Future support needs identified by SIDS under the Climate Promise:

There have been three main areas of requested technical support to enable SIDS to effectively turn NDC commitments into action. These include:

- Capacity building and technical support to implement NDC actions;
- Financial and investment planning and/or securing resources; and
- Strengthening NDC coordination and engagement.

Among Climate Promise-supported SIDS, 29 percent of countries indicated that they still need technical or capacity support to implement their planned NDC actions. In **Comoros**, this takes shape in building the capacity of sectoral ministries to implement climate policies relevant to key sectors, while **Vanuatu** has honed in on the need to build capacity for Article 6 implementation.

Not surprisingly, planning for and securing climate finance was another area of support requested by 29 percent of countries. **Grenada** wants to develop financing mechanisms and investment opportunities for a National Cooling Action Plan and renewable energy needs, while the **Dominican Republic** wants to increase the involvement of the private sector on climate action by identifying suitable entry points to tap into this financing source.

The third common area of requested support concerns strengthening NDC coordination and engagement mechanisms, with 21 percent of countries indicating they needed this assistance. **Belize** wishes to develop a Strategic Plan for the National Climate Change Office, the institution that is to guide implementation of the NDC and low emission development strategy, while **Sao Tome and Principe** needs support to establish a national climate change institutional body as, currently, a dedicated unit or directorate does not exist.



#### **ENDNOTES**

- 1 Based on 2019 <u>Climate Watch (CAIT) data</u>, including Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry sector.
- The 40 SIDS that are signatories to the Paris Agreement include: Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Belize, Cabo Verde, Comoros, Cook Islands, Cuba, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Fiji, Grenada, Guinea-Bissau, Guyana, Haiti, Jamaica, Kiribati, Maldives, Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Mauritius, Nauru, Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, São Tomé and Príncipe, Singapore, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Lucia, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, Seychelles, Solomon Islands, Suriname, Timor-Leste, Tonga, Trinidad and Tobago, Tuvalu, and Vanuatu.
- 3 The use of 'All SIDS' here refers to the 40 SIDS that are signatories to the Paris Agreement.
- 4 Global results were first published in UNDP's 2021 NDC Outlook Report: The State of Climate Ambition with data from 67 countries. This information has been updated to include data from 105 submitted NDCs (as of 19 December 2022) from Climate Promisesupported countries. The SIDS specific data reflects information from the 21 SIDS that are supported under the Climate Promise that have submitted NDCs. For more detailed information on the methodology used for the NDC Quality review, please refer to the 2021 report.
- 5 A UNDP survey on NDC implementation readiness obtained 133 developing country responses in 2019 and 122 developing country responses in 2021. The 2021 data included responses from 32 SIDS.





# **United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)**

1 UN Plaza New York, NY 10017, USA

climatepromise.undp.org @UNDPClimate undp.org @UNDP